IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No. 21181 of 2004

Between:

Smt. M. Umadevi W/o Palakondaiah,

Aged about 30 years, Occ: F.P.Shop Dealer,
Machupalle, Sidhout Mandal, Kadapa district

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Collector, Kadapa, Kadapa District
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajampet, Kadapa District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Sidhout Mandal, Kadapa District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Revenue Divisional Officer, I.D. the 2nd respondent herein in not disposing of the main case in spite of a direction given by the 1st respondent herein in his proceedings L.Dis. No. 1 (3)/2341/2004, dated 4-10-2004 as illegal, arbitrary and unjust, violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 2nd respondent herein to dispose of the case of the petitioner herein immediately,

Counsel for the Petitioner: KUM.B.G.UMA DEVI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following:

–

–

–

ORDER:

The petitioner is an authorized dealer of the fair price shop of Machupalle village, Sidhout Mandal of Kadapa District. Her authorization was suspended by the

2nd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajampet of Kadapa District, by proceedings dated 21.08.2004 pending enquiry into the alleged irregularities in distribution of the essential commodities. The petitioner preferred an appeal before the

1st respondent/Joint Collector, Kadapa, which was disposed on 04.10.2004 with a direction to the 2nd respondent to complete the enquiry within four weeks. The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of the directions issued by the 1st respondent, the 2nd respondent has not completed the enquiry so far. Hence this writ petition.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned

Government Pleader for Civil Supplies, appearing on behalf of respondents.

3. As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, since the 1st respondent/appellate authority, while disposing of the statutory appeal, directed to complete the enquiry, the 2nd respondent is bound to complete the enquiry within the time specified.

4. In the circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the 2nd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajampet, Kadapa District, to complete the enquiry in terms of the orders of the 1st respondent dated 04.10.2004 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order without fail. No costs.

dsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1. The Joint Collector,

Kadapa, Kadapa District

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Rajampet, Kadapa District

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Sidhout Mandal, Kadapa District

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader

for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

5. Two CD copies.